



2017/2284(INI)

21.11.2018

AMENDMENTS

1 - 263

Draft report
Jytte Guteland
(PE618.102v01-00)

Implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides
(2017/2284(INI))

Amendment 1

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Citation 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions - A thematic strategy on the sustainable use of pesticides {COM(2006) 373 final} {SEC(2006)894} {SEC(2006) 895} {SEC(2006) 914} of 12 July 2006,^{1a}*

^{1a} <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:52006DC0372>

Or. en

Amendment 2

Michèle Rivasi, Martin Häusling

Motion for a resolution

Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to COM(2006)372 final communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on a Thematic Strategy on the Sustainable Use of Pesticides;*

Or. en

Amendment 3

Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Citation 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Directive 98/24 on the protection of workers from chemical risks and to Directive 2004/37 on the protection of workers from carcinogens and mutagens;*

Or. en

Amendment 4
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Directive 98/24 on the protection of workers from chemical risks and Directive 2004/37 on the protection of workers from carcinogens and mutagens;*

Or. en

Amendment 5
Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC⁸,

— having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC⁸; *having regard to the European Implementation Assessment on the Regulation and to its relevant*

annexes, as published by the European Parliamentary Research Service (EPRS) in April 2018,

⁸ OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1.

⁸ OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1.

Or. en

Amendment 6
Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (COM/2017/0109 final);*

Or. en

Amendment 7
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (COM/2017/0109 final)*

Or. en

Amendment 8

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Directive 2013/39/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 August 2013 amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in the field of water policy,*

Or. en

Amendment 9

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Citation 27 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Directive 2013/39/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 August 2013 amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in the field of water policy;*

Or. en

Amendment 10

Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution

Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Special*

Report 2014 of the European Court of Auditors "Integration of EU water policy objectives with the CAP: a partial success";

Or. en

Amendment 11
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the 2014 Auditor Special Report "Integration of EU water policy objectives with the CAP: a partial success"*

Or. en

Amendment 12
Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for human consumption,*

Or. en

Amendment 13
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regards to the Habitats Directive 92/43 and Birds Directive 2009/147/EC;*

Or. en

Amendment 14

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Citation 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 7 June 2016 on enhancing innovation and economic development in future European farm management¹⁴,* *deleted*

¹⁴ OJ C 86, 6.3.2018, p. 62.

Or. en

Amendment 15

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Citation 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 7 June 2016 on technological solutions for sustainable agriculture in the EU¹⁵,* *deleted*

¹⁵ OJ C 86, 6.3.2018, p. 51.

Or. en

Amendment 16

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution
Citation 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 24 October 2017 on the draft Commission implementing regulation renewing the approval of the active substance glyphosate in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, and amending the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011¹⁷,*

deleted

¹⁷ OJ C 346, 27.9.2018, p. 117.

Or. nl

Amendment 17
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 23 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the joint working paper of AGRI and ENVI SWD(2017)153 final on agriculture and sustainable water management;*

Or. en

Amendment 18
Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Jahr

Motion for a resolution
Citation 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Commission*

Amendment 19
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution
Citation 24

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the resolution of
the French Senate of 19 May 2017 to limit
the use of pesticides in the European
Union*²⁴,

deleted

²⁴ <http://www.senat.fr/leg/ppr16-477.html>

Amendment 20
Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling

Motion for a resolution
Citation 25

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the scientific
study entitled ‘More than 75 % decline
over 27 years in total flying insect biomass
in protected areas’ by Caspar A.
Hallmann, Martin Sorg, Eelke Jongejans,
Henk Siepel, Nick Hofland, Heinz
Schwan et al, published on 18 October
2017*²⁵,

deleted

²⁵

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Amendment 21
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Directive 2003/35/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 May 2003 providing for public participation in respect of the drawing up of certain plans and programmes relating to the environment;*

Or. en

Amendment 22
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 25 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Having regard to Council Directives 85/337/EC and 96/61/EC;*

Or. en

Amendment 23
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 25 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the UNECE Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (the Aarhus Convention);*

Amendment 24
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 25 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the European Citizens Initiative "Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides"*

Or. en

Amendment 25
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 25 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the vast numbers of people adversely impacted by pesticide use across the EU, as highlighted, for example, by the work of the organisation <https://victimes-pesticides.fr/>*

Or. en

Amendment 26
Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution
Citation 27 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up*

by Member States under the Common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulation (EU)No 1305/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Regulation (EU)No 1307/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council (COM(2018)392 final,

Or. en

Amendment 27
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of pesticides (hereinafter ‘the directive’) provides for a range of actions to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides in the EU, by reducing the risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment and promoting the use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) *and* alternative *approaches or* techniques, *such as non-chemical alternatives to* pesticides;

Amendment

A. whereas Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of pesticides (hereinafter ‘the directive’) provides for a range of actions to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides in the EU *by reducing pesticide dependency*, by reducing the risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment and *by* promoting the use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM), *including* alternative *plant protection* techniques, *more specifically sustainable, ecological and safe techniques which - contrary to many pesticides - do not harm human health, animal health nor the environment;*

Or. en

Amendment 28
Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of pesticides (hereinafter ‘the directive’) provides for a range of actions to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides in the EU, by reducing the risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment and promoting the use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) and alternative approaches or techniques, such as non-chemical alternatives to pesticides;

Amendment

A. whereas Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of pesticides (hereinafter ‘the directive’) provides for a range of actions to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides in the EU, by reducing the risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment and promoting the use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) and alternative approaches or techniques, such as non-chemical alternatives to pesticides; ***whereas the EU's ultimate objective should simply be to abandon the use of potentially harmful pesticides outright as soon as possible;***

Or. fr

Amendment 29

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of pesticides (hereinafter ‘the directive’) provides for a range of actions to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides in the EU, by reducing the risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment and promoting the use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) and alternative approaches or techniques, such as non-chemical alternatives ***to pesticides;***

Amendment

A. whereas Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of pesticides (hereinafter ‘the directive’) provides for a range of actions to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides in the EU, by reducing the risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment and promoting the use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) and alternative approaches or techniques, such as non-chemical alternatives ***including low-risk biological plant protection products; aiming at reducing pesticide dependency***

Or. en

Amendment 30

PE630.478v01-00

14/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of pesticides (hereinafter ‘the directive’) provides for a range of actions to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides in the EU, by reducing the risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment and promoting the use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) and alternative approaches or techniques, such as non-chemical alternatives to pesticides;

Amendment

A. whereas Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of pesticides (hereinafter ‘the directive’) provides for a range of actions to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides in the EU, by reducing the risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment and promoting the use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) and alternative approaches or techniques, such as non-chemical alternatives to pesticides ***aimed at reducing pesticide dependency***;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Mairead McGuinness, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union’s most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from ***hazardous*** substances in pesticides; whereas the ***failure to fully implement*** the directive ***makes it all but impossible to achieve the highest degree of protection and to transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment***;

Amendment

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union’s most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from ***dangerous*** substances in pesticides; whereas the ***implementation of*** the directive ***could be improved***;

Or. en

Amendment 32

Christofer Fjellner, Julie Girling, Anthea McIntyre

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas ***the failure to fully implement*** the directive ***makes it all but impossible*** to achieve ***the highest degree of protection and to transition towards*** a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Amendment

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas ***implementation of*** the directive ***can be further improved*** to achieve a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Angélique Delahaye, Herbert Dorfmann, Mairead McGuinness

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ***ecosystems*** and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the ***failure to fully implement*** the directive ***makes it all but impossible*** to achieve the ***highest degree of protection and to transition towards*** a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Amendment

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ***animal*** and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the ***implementation of*** the directive ***could be improved*** to achieve the transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Ulrike Müller

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

PE630.478v01-00

16/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the ***failure to fully implement the directive makes it all but impossible*** to achieve the highest degree of protection and to transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Amendment

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the directive ***should be fully implemented*** to achieve the highest degree of protection and to transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the failure to fully implement the directive makes it all but impossible to achieve the highest degree of protection and to transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Amendment

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the failure to fully implement the directive makes it all but impossible to achieve the highest degree of protection and to transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment; ***whereas, at the same time, full implementation of the Directive alone cannot guarantee access to the highest level of protection or a transition to sustainable agriculture; whereas it is a proven fact that pesticide authorisation procedures at EU level remain far too open to influence from lobbies to ensure this protection and transition;***

Or. fr

Amendment 36

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the failure to fully implement the directive makes it all but impossible to achieve the highest degree of protection and to transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Amendment

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides, ***while providing a larger and more varied farmers' toolbox to protect their crops through sustainable solutions such as low-risk biological plant protection products***; whereas the failure to fully implement the directive makes it all but impossible to ***simultaneously*** achieve the highest degree of protection and to transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Or. en

Amendment 37

Urszula Krupa

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the failure to fully implement the directive makes it all but impossible to achieve the highest degree of protection and to transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector ***and non-toxic*** environment;

Amendment

B. whereas the directive is one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that the environment, ecosystems and human health are well protected from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the failure to fully implement the directive makes it all but impossible to achieve the highest degree of protection and to transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector, ***and consequently the production of healthy food and a clean environment in which pesticides are eliminated or reduced to a minimum***;

Or. pl

Amendment 38
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the directive is ***one of the Union's most valuable tools to ensure that*** the environment, ecosystems ***and*** human health ***are well protected*** from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the failure to fully implement the directive makes it all but impossible to achieve the highest degree of protection and to transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Amendment

B. whereas the directive is ***a*** valuable ***tool to help protect*** the environment, ecosystems, human ***and animal*** health from hazardous substances in pesticides; whereas the failure to fully implement the directive makes it all but impossible to achieve the highest degree of protection ***for human and animal health and for the environment,*** and to ***achieve the urgently needed*** transition towards a sustainable agricultural sector and ***a*** non-toxic, ***pesticide-free and EDC-free*** environment;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

B a. whereas the directive has to be read in conjunction with the other two main pieces of legislation covering the complete lifecycle of a pesticide, starting from its placing on the market (Regulation 1107/2009) and ending with the setting of maximum residue levels (Regulation 396/2005); thus it is impossible to achieve its objective to protect human health and the environment from the risks associated with the use of pesticides without fully implementing and properly enforcing the entire "pesticides package";

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 40

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. whereas the current practices by the Commission and Member States regarding the approval of active substances and authorisation of plant protection products is not compatible with the objectives and purpose of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides; whereas these current practices impede attaining the highest possible level of protection and transition to a sustainable agricultural sector and non-toxic environment;

Or. en

Amendment 41

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Mairead McGuinness, Peter Jahr, Angélique Delahaye, Norbert Lins

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. whereas it is important to promote the development of alternative procedures or techniques to reduce dependence on conventional pesticides and to deal with the rising threads of resistances to conventional plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

PE630.478v01-00

20/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. *whereas the use of conventional plant protection products is increasingly subject to public debate, due to the potential risks they pose to human health, animals and the environment;*

Or. en

Amendment 43

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B b. *whereas there is a lack of availability of low-risk plant protection products, including biological ones; whereas only 13 substances are approved as low-risk active substances, 12 of them biological, out of a total of almost 500 available on the EU market; whereas the lack of availability of low-risk plant protection products including biological ones hinders the development and implementation of IPM;*

Or. en

Amendment 44

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B b. *whereas Integrated Pest Management implementation is mandatory in the Union in accordance with the Directive; whereas Member States and local authorities should place*

more emphasis on the sustainable use of pesticides, including low-risk plant protection alternatives;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B b. whereas the "sustainable use" of pesticides cannot be realized without taking into account human exposure to combinations of active substances and co-formulants, as well as their cumulative and possible aggregate and synergistic effects on human health;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution
Recital B c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B c. whereas the current regulatory framework, including the data requirements, was designed for the assessment and management of chemical plant protection products, and is thus ill-fitting for low-risk biological active substances and products; whereas this ill-fitting framework is significantly slowing down the market entry of low-risk biological plant protection products, often deterring applicants; whereas this hinders innovation and hampers the competitiveness of EU agriculture; whereas this also leads to over 60 active substances identified by the European

Commission as candidates for substitution not being replaced given the lack of safer alternatives, including low-risk biological active substances;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B c. whereas the available evidence clearly shows that the implementation of the Directive is not sufficiently aligned with related EU policies in the field of pesticides, agriculture and sustainable development, notably but not exclusively the Common Agricultural Policy and Plant Protection Products Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B d. whereas Europe currently stands at a crossroads that will determine the future of the agriculture sector and the Union's possibility to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides, most notably through the reform of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP); whereas the reform of the CAP brings with it a substantial potential to strengthen the streamlining and harmonisation of policies as well as the implementation of

the Directive and to facilitate the transition towards more environmentally-sustainable agricultural practices;

Or. en

Amendment 49

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***B d.** whereas in October 2017, the Commission declared the European Citizens' Initiative "Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides" admissible; whereas over one million citizens called on the Commission to propose to the Member States the introduction of a ban on the use of glyphosate, to reform the approval procedure for pesticides and to set mandatory reduction targets at EU level for the use of pesticides;*

Or. en

Amendment 50

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***B e.** whereas there is increasing evidence of an ongoing mass death of insects in Europe; whereas the observed sharp decline in insects has negative impacts on the entire ecosystem and biological diversity but also on the agricultural sector and its future economic wellbeing and output; whereas there is indisputable evidence that the*

decline in insects is linked to the current levels of pesticide use;

Or. en

Amendment 51

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***B f.** whereas stakeholders in the agricultural sector are concerned that the insufficient implementation of the Directive has de facto created a unlevelled playing field in Europe with diverging national practices impeding the optimal uptake of sustainable alternatives on the market; whereas this situation has created economic barriers for alternative low-risk and non-chemical products to sufficiently penetrate the EU market which reduces their attractiveness to farmers, who may instead opt for more cost-effective alternatives in the short-term;*

Or. en

Amendment 52

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***B g.** whereas the available evidence shows that the Directive, as well as related actions at EU-level, has great potential to further enhance and add value to national efforts and actions in the agricultural sector and protection for the environment and human health;*

Amendment 53

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B h. whereas organic agriculture plays an important role as a low pesticide input system and should be further encouraged;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Recital B i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B i. whereas Regulation 1107/2009 obliges the Council to include in the statutory management requirement referred to in Annex III to Council Regulation (EC) No 1782/2003 of 29 September 2003 establishing common rules for direct support schemes under the Common Agricultural Policy and establishing certain support schemes for farmers, the principles of integrated pest management, including good plant protection practice and non-chemical methods of plant protection and pest and crop management;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph -1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-1. Recalls the specific objectives of the Thematic Strategy on the Sustainable Use of Pesticides as, inter alia, the minimisation of hazards and risks to health and the environment from the use of pesticides; improved controls on the use and distribution of pesticides; reduction in the levels of harmful active substances including through substituting the most dangerous with safer, including non-chemical alternatives; encouraging low-input or pesticide free cultivation; and the establishment of a transparent system for reporting and monitoring progress towards the fulfilment of the objectives of the strategy including through the development of suitable indicators;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Jørn Dohrmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Regrets the fact that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States is insufficient to meet the directive's main objectives and to unlock its full potential to reduce the overall risks deriving from pesticide use and achieve the environmental and health improvements the directive was specifically designed for;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 57
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Regrets the fact that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States is insufficient to meet the directive's main objectives **and to unlock its full potential** to reduce the **overall risks deriving from pesticide** use **and** achieve the **environmental and health improvements the directive was specifically designed for**;

Amendment

1. Regrets the fact that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States is **totally** insufficient to meet the directive's main objectives, to reduce the use **of pesticides, to promote the transition towards sustainable, ecological and safe plant protection techniques, and to** achieve the **protection of human and animal health and the environment**;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Regrets the **fact** that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States is insufficient to meet the directive's main objectives and to unlock its full potential to reduce the overall risks deriving from pesticide use and achieve the environmental and health improvements the directive was specifically designed for;

Amendment

1. Regrets the **three years' delay by the European Commission in preparing its report on Member States progress in implementation of the Directive, lacking EU steering, and as a result Member States so far not having fulfilled the requirements foreseen in the NAPs with the result** that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States is insufficient to meet the directive's main objectives and to unlock its full potential to reduce the overall risks deriving from pesticide use **while reducing pesticide dependency** and achieve the environmental and health improvements the directive was specifically designed for;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Regrets the fact that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States is insufficient to meet the directive's main objectives and to unlock its full potential to reduce the overall risks deriving from pesticide use and achieve the environmental and health improvements the directive was specifically designed for;

Amendment

1. Regrets the fact that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States is insufficient to meet the directive's main objectives and to unlock its full potential to reduce the overall risks deriving from pesticide use and achieve the environmental and health improvements the directive was specifically designed for; ***however, notes that this legislative framework is covering a policy area that has not been previously regulated and for a majority of Member States the National Action Plans (NAPs) were entirely new; due consideration is needed for delays in the full implementation of the Directive;***

Or. en

Amendment 60
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Regrets the fact that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States is insufficient to meet the directive's main objectives and to unlock its full potential to reduce the overall risks deriving from pesticide use ***and*** achieve the environmental and health improvements the directive was specifically designed for;

Amendment

1. ***Deeply*** regrets the fact that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States is ***wholly*** insufficient to meet the directive's main objectives and to unlock its full potential to reduce the overall risks deriving from pesticide use ***whilst reducing pesticide dependency and to*** achieve the ***urgently needed*** environmental and health improvements the directive was specifically designed for;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. **Regrets** the fact that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States **is insufficient** to meet the directive's main objectives and to unlock its full potential to reduce the overall risks deriving from pesticide use and achieve the environmental and health improvements the directive was specifically designed for;

Amendment

1. **Notes** the fact that the overall degree of progress in implementation by the Member States **could be improved** to meet the directive's main objectives and to unlock its full potential to reduce the overall risks deriving from pesticide use and achieve the environmental and health improvements the directive was specifically designed for;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that the implementation of the directive must be comprehensive and cover all the required aspects, and that the partial implementation of certain elements but not others is insufficient to realise the directive's overarching purpose of achieving the sustainable use of pesticides; underlines the fact that the implementation of IPM practices plays a particularly important role in efforts to achieve this objective;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that the implementation of the directive must be comprehensive and cover all the required aspects, and that the partial implementation of certain elements but not others is insufficient to realise the directive's overarching purpose of achieving the sustainable use of pesticides; underlines the fact that the implementation of IPM practices plays a particularly important role in efforts to achieve this objective; **points out that the more ambitious target of abandoning the use of potentially harmful pesticides outright must be set as soon as possible;**

Or. fr

Amendment 63
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that the implementation of the directive must be comprehensive and cover all the required aspects, and that the partial implementation of certain elements but not others is insufficient to realise the directive's overarching purpose of achieving the sustainable use of pesticides; underlines the fact that the implementation of IPM practices plays a particularly important role in efforts to achieve this objective;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that the implementation of the directive must be comprehensive and cover all the required aspects, and that the partial implementation of certain elements but not others is insufficient to realise the directive's overarching purpose of achieving the sustainable use of pesticides; underlines the fact that the implementation of IPM practices, ***combined with educational measures to increase the awareness of the benefits of IPM***, plays a particularly important role in efforts to achieve this objective;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that the implementation of the directive must be comprehensive and cover all the required aspects, and that the partial implementation of certain elements but not others is insufficient to realise the directive's overarching purpose of achieving the sustainable use of pesticides; underlines the fact that the implementation of IPM practices plays a particularly important role in efforts to achieve this objective;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that the implementation of the directive must be comprehensive and cover all the required aspects, and that the partial implementation of certain elements but not others is insufficient to realise the directive's overarching purpose of achieving the sustainable use of pesticides; underlines the fact that the implementation of IPM practices, ***with the prioritisation of non-chemical alternatives to pesticides***, plays a particularly important role in efforts to achieve this objective;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that the implementation of the directive must be comprehensive and cover all the required aspects, and that the partial implementation of certain elements but not others is insufficient to realise the directive's overarching purpose of achieving the sustainable use of pesticides; underlines the fact that the implementation of IPM practices plays a particularly important role in efforts to achieve this objective;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that the implementation of the directive must be comprehensive and cover all the required aspects, and that the partial implementation of certain elements but not others is insufficient to realise the directive's overarching purpose of achieving the sustainable use of pesticides; underlines the fact that the implementation of IPM practices ***with the prioritisation of non-chemical alternatives to pesticides***, plays a particularly important role in efforts to achieve this objective;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

2 a. Considers that a faster approvals process would stimulate industry research into the development of new low-risk active ingredients, including new, innovative low-risk substances, thus ensuring that farmers have sufficient plant-protection tools at their disposal and enabling them to switch more rapidly to sustainable plant protection products and increase Integrated Pest Management efficacy;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 67
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. *Notes that the Commission's 2017 progress report identifies significant gaps in the National Action Plans of Member States, suggesting a lower commitment to protecting the environment and health in some countries possibly resulting in unfair market competition and an undermining of the single market; reserves the right to refer non compliant Member States to the Competition Commissioner;*

Or. en

Amendment 68
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 b. *Notes that the Commission's October 2017 implementation report on the Directive was due in in November 2014 and that it will take significant time to make up for this lost time, especially in regards to the shortcomings in the NAPs; deeply regrets the low priority accorded to the control of pesticides that this 3 year delay implies;*

Or. en

Amendment 69
Monika Beňová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are notoriously inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it impossible to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that just two Member States have produced a revised NAP to date;

Amendment

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are notoriously inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it impossible to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that just two Member States have produced a revised NAP to date.

Horizontal cooperation among Member States should be promoted and help the process of harmonization of the European approach towards sustainable use of pesticides.

Or. en

Amendment 70

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are notoriously inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it impossible to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that just two Member States have produced a revised NAP to date;

Amendment

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are notoriously inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it impossible to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that just two Member States have produced a revised NAP to date ***and that only five NAPs set high-level measurable targets, of which four relate to risk reduction and only one to use reduction;***

Or. en

Amendment 71

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Mairead McGuinness, Peter Jahr

Motion for a resolution

PE630.478v01-00

34/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are **notoriously** inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, **measurements** and timetables for the various action areas, making it impossible to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that **just two** Member States have produced a revised NAP to date;

Amendment

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, **measures** and timetables for the various action areas, making it impossible to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that **only eleven** Member States have produced a revised NAP to date, **although the deadline for revision was at the end of 2017**;

Or. en

Amendment 72 Stanislav Polčák

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) **are notoriously inconsistent** as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it **impossible** to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that just two Member States have produced a revised NAP to date;

Amendment

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) **show signs of inconsistency** as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it **more difficult** to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that just two Member States have produced a revised NAP to date;

Or. cs

Amendment 73 Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Angélique Delahaye, Herbert Dorfmann

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are

Amendment

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are

notoriously inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it *impossible* to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that *just two* Member States have produced a revised NAP to date;

inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it *more difficult* to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that *only 11* Member States have produced a revised NAP to date;

Or. en

Amendment 74 **Jytte Guteland**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are notoriously inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it impossible to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that just *two* Member States have produced a revised NAP to date;

Amendment

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are notoriously inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it impossible to assess the progress made; regrets the fact that just *eleven* Member States have produced a revised NAP to date;

Or. en

Amendment 75 **Jørn Dohrmann**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are notoriously inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it impossible to assess the progress made; *regrets the fact that just two* Member

Amendment

3. Is concerned by the fact that the National Action Plans (NAPs) are notoriously inconsistent as regards the establishment of quantitative objectives, targets, measurements and timetables for the various action areas, making it impossible to assess the progress made; *welcomes that more than two* Member

States have produced a revised NAP to date;

States have produced a revised NAP to date;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. *Notes the unintentional effects of pesticides on soil and non-target species, in particular the recent scientific study illustrating the "insect Armageddon" whereby 75% of winged insects have become extinct in certain regions, even in nature reserves where no agricultural pesticides have been used; notes further that studies also show common bird species are declining across Europe which can be attributed to the decline in insect populations; recognises the importance of NAPs and IPM, in particular the swift transition to sustainable, ecological and safe plant protection techniques, in significantly reducing pesticide usage to avoid ecological collapse;*

Or. en

Amendment 77
Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. *Stresses that farmers need to have a bigger toolbox of crop protection solutions, including a wide range of active substances, low risk substances and those of natural origin in order to ensure a comprehensive Integrated Pesticide*

Management (IPM) strategy that can be implemented by European farmers;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Notes that an increased uptake of IPM serves the dual purpose of strengthening the protection of the environment and biodiversity as well as reducing costs for farmers to switch to more sustainable alternatives and reduce the use of conventional pesticides;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Mairead McGuinness, Peter Jahr, Angélique Delahaye, Norbert Lins

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States *there is no real commitment* to IPM *practices*, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that *rigorous* implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market

4. Stresses the importance of *commitment from* Member States to *apply integrated pest management*; regrets that *one of the main challenges regarding the implementation of the principles of* IPM, which are the cornerstone of the directive, *seems to be the current lack of appropriate control instruments and methods to assess compliance in the Member States as well as clear rules and guidance*; recalls that while *IPM techniques are sustainable from a long-term perspective, IPM can mean a higher economic risk in the short-term*;

uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

underlines the fact that implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

Or. en

Amendment 80 **Anja Hazekamp**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 4**

Motion for a resolution

4. Regrets the fact that in *many* Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on *pesticide use in* sustainable agriculture, *which is* environmentally friendly, *economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to* Europe's food *security* while strengthening biodiversity *and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives*; stresses that additional *financial* incentives are needed to *strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms*;

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in *the* Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous *and comprehensive* implementation *of all eight principles* of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on *pesticides; recognises that this approach is a first and necessary step towards* sustainable agriculture *in general, and towards sustainable, ecological and safe plant protection techniques in particular*; *stresses that only a food production system that is both* environmentally friendly *and beneficial (rather than detrimental) to human and animal health, will secure* Europe's food *production* while *simultaneously* strengthening biodiversity; stresses that additional *measures and* incentives are needed to *promote and enable professional users to replace pesticides by sustainable, ecological and safe plant protection*

techniques;

Or. en

Amendment 81
Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms; ***notes also the organic farmers who suffer economic losses from their neighbours' pesticide use, whereby drift from pesticide spraying and movement of persistent active substances in the environment contaminate organic produce and soils; notes that consequently, organic farmers are forced to sell that produce as conventional, losing out on their price premium, or worse become decertified, due to actions that are not their own;***

Or. en

Amendment 82
Mireille D'Ornano

PE630.478v01-00

40/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms; ***stresses that, in their defence, the Member States are hampered in their efforts to combat pesticides by the stated aims of the common agricultural policy, whose production-orientated principles encourage an extremely short-termist approach and the widespread use of such active substances;***

Or. fr

Amendment 83
Jytte Guteland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce

dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives *in the different European zones*; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

Or. en

Amendment 84 **Stanislav Polčák**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 4**

Motion for a resolution

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices *by individual farms*;

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives, *in particular for small farmers and small and medium-sized agricultural businesses*, are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices;

Or. cs

Amendment 85
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Regrets the fact that *in many Member States* there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers *by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives*; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that there is no real commitment to IPM practices *by Member States*, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM, *based on its eight principles and with the prioritisation of non-chemical alternatives to pesticides*, is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is no real commitment to IPM practices, which are the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening

biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives *and educational measures* are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

Or. en

Amendment 87

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Notes in this respect that resistance to pesticide active substances is a biological inevitability in fast-reproducing pests and diseases and is a growing problem; stresses therefore that chemical pesticides should be used selectively and in a targeted manner, as a last resort rather than a first resort after exhausting all possible physical or biological alternatives; otherwise these beneficial pest control agents risk being wiped out, leaving the crops more susceptible to future attacks.

Or. en

Amendment 88

Jan Huitema, Pavel Telička, Ulrike Müller, Anthea McIntyre, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is *no real* commitment to IPM practices, which are

4. Regrets the fact that in many Member States there is *not sufficient* commitment to IPM practices, which are

the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

the cornerstone of the directive; underlines the fact that rigorous implementation of IPM is one of the key measures to reduce dependency on pesticide use in sustainable agriculture, which is environmentally friendly, economically viable and socially responsible and contributes to Europe's food security while strengthening biodiversity and human and animal health, boosting the rural economy and reducing costs for farmers by facilitating the market uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives; stresses that additional financial incentives are needed to strengthen the uptake of IPM practices by individual farms;

Or. en

Amendment 89

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Mairead McGuinness, Peter Jahr, Angélique Delahaye, Norbert Lins

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Considers that IPM represents a valuable tool for farmers to combat pests and disease and to ensure production yields; notes that a more intensive effort is needed to encourage the uptake of IPM through research and Member States' advisory bodies; recalls that IPM is not a silver-bullet solution to combat all threats to plant health but can play an important role in reducing the quantities and varieties of pesticides used;

Or. en

Amendment 90

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

AM\1168799EN.docx

45/125

PE630.478v01-00

EN

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. *Regrets also the fact that the Commission and the Member States fail to recognise the urgent need to drastically reduce the widespread and prophylactic use of pesticides (including those with endocrine disrupting properties) on a short term basis in order to protect human and animal health and the environment;*

Or. en

Amendment 91

Jan Huitema, Mairead McGuinness, Pavel Telička, Ulrike Müller, Anthea McIntyre, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. *Stresses the importance of the availability of low-risk pesticides, adequate research and the sharing of best practices within and among Member States to fully utilise the potential of integrated pest management.*

Or. en

Amendment 92

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. *Notes that increased use of, and dependency on, pesticides comes at a high cost to farmers, both through the high input cost and also due to the loss in yields due to depleting soil and soil quality;*

Amendment 93
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. *Notes that organic farmers suffer economic losses when their soil and organic produce are contaminated by pesticide use on neighbouring farms via, for example, drift from pesticide spraying and movement of persistent active substances in the environment; notes that, consequently, due to actions beyond their control, organic farmers may be forced to sell their produce as conventional, losing out on their price premium, or may even be de-certified;*

Or. en

Amendment 94
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. *Regrets also the fact that the Commission and the Member States fail to commit to drastically reducing the use of pesticides (including those with endocrine disrupting properties) on a short term basis and to promote and enable a swift transition towards sustainable, ecological and safe plant protection techniques;*

Or. en

Amendment 95

Jan Huitema, Mairead McGuinness, Pavel Telička, Ulrike Müller, Anthea McIntyre, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. Acknowledges the willingness of farmers to use IPM, but understands that farmers are reluctant to apply new methods for pest management if they face an unacceptably high risk to their economic viability in case these methods do not work;

Or. en

Amendment 96

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 c. Regrets the fact that the Directive itself lacks ambition; emphasises that in order to achieve the Directive's objectives in regard to the protection of human and animal health and the environment, it needs to acknowledge that a shift towards a sustainable food production system that is both pesticide-free and EDC-free, is urgently needed; stresses that the Commission must therefore impose additional measures and incentives to enable this shift, such as cross-compliance between IPM and CAP and a reduction target of 50% by 2023, promotion;

Or. en

Amendment 97

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

PE630.478v01-00

48/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 c. *Regrets that the Commission has not taken action to make the Sustainable Use Directive mandatory as part of cross compliance as required under the Directive;*

Or. en

Amendment 98
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 d. *Regrets the three years delay by the Commission in preparing its report on the progress made by the Member States in the implementation of the Directive, lacking EU steering, and as a result Member States not having fulfilled the requirements in the NAPs;*

Or. en

Amendment 99
Urszula Krupa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Expresses concern at the fact that approximately 80 % of Member States' NAPs contain no specific information on how to quantify the achievement of many of the objectives and targets, particularly as regards targets for IPM and aquatic protection measures; ***stresses that this***

5. Expresses concern at the fact that approximately 80 % of Member States' NAPs contain no specific information on how to quantify the achievement of many of the objectives and targets, particularly as regards targets for IPM and aquatic protection measures, ***as well as measures***

greatly complicates the process of measuring the progress made by Member States towards the main objectives and purpose of the directive;

for the protection of soil against pollution by toxic substances;

Or. pl

Amendment 100

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Expresses concern at the fact that approximately 80 % of Member States' NAPs contain no specific information on how to quantify the achievement of many of the objectives and targets, particularly as regards targets for IPM and aquatic protection measures; stresses that this greatly complicates the process of measuring the progress made by Member States towards the main objectives and purpose of the directive;

Amendment

5. Expresses concern at the fact that approximately 80 % of Member States' NAPs contain no specific information on how to quantify the achievement of many of the objectives and targets, particularly as regards targets for IPM and aquatic protection measures ***and that measures to protect soil and biodiversity from pesticides are lacking***; stresses that this greatly complicates the process of measuring the progress made by Member States towards the main objectives and purpose of the directive;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Expresses concern at the fact that approximately 80 % of Member States' NAPs contain no specific information on how to quantify the achievement of many of the objectives and targets, particularly as regards targets for IPM and aquatic protection measures; stresses that this greatly complicates the process of

Amendment

5. Expresses concern at the fact that approximately 80 % of Member States' NAPs contain no specific information on how to quantify the achievement of many of the objectives and targets, particularly as regards targets for IPM and aquatic protection measures; ***while measures to protect soil and biodiversity from***

measuring the progress made by Member States towards the main objectives and purpose of the directive;

pesticides are lacking; stresses that this greatly complicates the process of measuring the progress made by Member States towards the main objectives and purpose of the directive;

Or. en

Amendment 102 **Anja Hazekamp**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 5**

Motion for a resolution

5. Expresses concern at the fact that approximately 80 % of Member States' NAPs contain no specific information on how to quantify *the achievement of* many of the objectives and targets, particularly as regards targets for IPM *and* aquatic protection measures; stresses that this greatly complicates the process of measuring the progress made by Member States towards the main objectives and purpose of the directive;

Amendment

5. Expresses concern at the fact that approximately 80 % of Member States' NAPs contain no specific information on how to *achieve and* quantify many of the objectives and targets, particularly as regards targets for IPM, aquatic protection measures, *and measures to protect soil and biodiversity from pesticide contamination*; stresses that this greatly complicates the process of measuring the progress made by Member States towards the main objectives and purpose of the directive;

Or. en

Amendment 103 **Mairead McGuinness, Jan Huitema, Angélique Delahaye**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 6**

Motion for a resolution

6. Is concerned *that very little progress has been made in promoting the uptake* of low-risk *and non-chemical* alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative products;

Amendment

6. Is concerned *by a lack of innovation and development* of low-risk alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative products;

Amendment 104
Stanislav Polčák

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Is concerned that *very little* progress has been made in promoting the uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative products;

Amendment

6. Is concerned that *insufficient* progress has been made in promoting the uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative products;

Or. cs

Amendment 105
Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Is concerned that very little progress has been made in promoting the uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative products;

Amendment

6. Is concerned that very little progress has been made in promoting the uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative products; *emphasises that minor uses are particularly vulnerable owing to the scarcity of the relevant active substances;*

Or. en

Amendment 106
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Is concerned that very little progress has been made in promoting the uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative products;

Amendment

6. Is concerned that very little progress has been made in promoting **and incentivising** the uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative products;

Or. en

Amendment 107

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Is concerned that very little progress has been made in promoting the uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative **products**;

Amendment

6. Is concerned that very little progress has been made in promoting the uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative **methods**;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Is concerned that very little progress has been made in promoting the uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative **products**;

Amendment

6. Is concerned that very little progress has been made in promoting the uptake of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to conventional pesticides; notes that a mere handful of NAPs contain incentives for the registration of such alternative **methods**;

Amendment 109

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Regrets the lack of availability of low-risk biological plant protection products which is caused by the lengthy evaluation, authorisation and registration process; Regrets that while the current regulatory framework provides certain incentives for low-risk active substances and plant protection products, namely a shorter authorization time frame of 120 days, the 120 days deadline is rarely fulfilled at the Member States level; emphasises that the current situation is not compliant with the principles of promoting and implementing IPM, which stand at the core of the directive;

Or. en

Amendment 110

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Deplores the fact that the Commission has so far refused to submit by the end of 2018, in accordance with Parliament's resolution of 8 February 2017 on low-risk pesticides of biological origin, a specific legislative proposal to amend Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, independently of the general revision in connection with the REFIT initiative, with a view to introducing a fast-track evaluation, authorisation and registration

procedure for those low-risk biological pesticides;

Or. nl

Amendment 111
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. *Is concerned that the practice of using pesticide cocktails to combat resistant pests only leads to an increase of resistance, and therefore this practice should be prohibited;*

Or. en

Amendment 112
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 b. *Notes the widespread and prophylactic use of pesticides which comes at a high input cost to farmers; notes also the organic farmers who suffer economic loss due to the pesticide use of neighbouring farmers, whereby drift spraying and movement of persistent active substances in the environment contaminate organic produce and soil; notes that consequently, organic farmers are forced to sell their produce as conventional and thus with economic loss, and/or are facing de-certification due to actions contributable to others;*

Or. en

Amendment 113

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 b. Stresses the need in that regard for more expertise within the European Commission, EFSA and the national competent authorities to adequately evaluate low-risk biological active substances and products, and promote their use;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Mairead McGuinness, Jan Huitema, Herbert Dorfmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 115

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to **help** change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, *such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.*;

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use;

Or. en

Amendment 116

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Jahr, Angélique Delahaye, Norbert Lins

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. ***Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides;*** considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, *such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.*;

Amendment

7. Considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use;

Or. en

Amendment 117

Ulrike Müller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, ***is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides;*** considers that specific policy instruments in the post-

Amendment

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, ***does not sufficiently incentivise the reduction of pesticide use at farm level;*** considers that specific policy instruments

2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.;

in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 118

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, ***cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.***;

Amendment

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; ***Calls on the Commission and the Member States to integrate IPM, as laid down in Article 14 of the Directive, into the cross compliance rules without delay***; considers that ***additional*** specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are ***also*** required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, ***as foreseen in the Thematic Strategy on the Sustainable use of Pesticides***;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that

Amendment

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that

specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.;

specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.; ***takes the view that abandoning the common agricultural policy would ultimately be the best cure for farming's dependency on pesticides;***

Or. fr

Amendment 120
Christofer Fjellner, Julie Girling

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as ***fiscal measures at national level***, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.;

Amendment

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides ***while maintaining competitiveness and minimising the negative footprint on the environment***; considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as ***training, digitalisation, precision farming techniques***, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 121
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current

AM\1168799EN.docx

Amendment

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current

59/125

PE630.478v01-00

form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.;

form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments, *promotion of organic farming* etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 122

Jan Huitema, Pavel Telička, Anthea McIntyre, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.;

Amendment

7. Stresses that the common agriculture policy (CAP), in its current form, is not *sufficiently* capable of reducing farms' dependency on pesticides; considers that specific policy instruments in the post-2020 CAP are required in order to help change farmers' behaviour as regards pesticide use, such as fiscal measures at national level, cross-compliance between IPM and CAP direct payments etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Deplores the fact that the Commission proposal on the new post-2020 CAP does not incorporate the principle of Integrated Pest Management

in the statutory management requirements referred to in Annex III of that proposal; stresses that lack of cross-compliance between this Directive and the new CAP model will effectively hamper reducing pesticide dependency;

Or. en

Amendment 124
Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that most Member States use national risk indicators to assess, either entirely or in part, the adverse impact of pesticide use; recalls that in spite of the explicit obligation laid down in Article 15 of the directive, the Commission has not yet established EU-wide harmonised risk indicators, which makes it all but impossible to compare the progress made in different Member States and across the Union as a whole;

Amendment

8. Notes that most Member States use national risk indicators to assess, either entirely or in part, the adverse impact of pesticide use; recalls that in spite of the explicit obligation laid down in Article 15 of the directive, the Commission has not yet established EU-wide harmonised risk indicators, which makes it all but impossible to compare the progress made in different Member States and across the Union as a whole; ***further notes, in this respect, the need for a coherent and transparent pesticide residue monitoring system, as well as the importance of the collection of statistical data on pesticides;***

Or. en

Amendment 125
Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Jahr

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that most Member States use national risk indicators to assess, either entirely or in part, the adverse impact of pesticide use; recalls that in spite of the

Amendment

8. Notes that most Member States use national risk indicators to assess, either entirely or in part, the adverse impact of pesticide use; recalls that in spite of the

explicit obligation laid down in Article 15 of the directive, the Commission has not yet established EU-wide harmonised risk indicators, which makes it all but impossible to compare the progress made in different Member States and across the Union as a whole;

explicit obligation laid down in Article 15 of the directive, the Commission has not yet established EU-wide harmonised risk indicators, which makes it all but impossible to compare the progress made in different Member States and across the Union as a whole; ***hopes, however, that the harmonised risk indicators, whose establishment is now on the way, will be ready at the beginning of 2019;***

Or. en

Amendment 126

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that most Member States use national risk indicators to assess, either entirely or in part, the adverse impact of pesticide use; ***recalls*** that in spite of the explicit obligation laid down in Article 15 of the directive, ***the Commission has not yet established*** EU-wide harmonised risk indicators, which makes it all but impossible to compare the progress made in different Member States and across the Union as a whole;

Amendment

8. Notes that most Member States use national risk indicators to assess, either entirely or in part, the adverse impact of pesticide use; ***regrets*** that in spite of the explicit obligation laid down in Article 15 of the directive, EU-wide harmonised risk indicators, ***as recently proposed by the Commission, have not yet been agreed upon by the Member States***, which makes it all but impossible to compare the progress made in different Member States and across the Union as a whole;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Ulrike Müller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that most Member States use national risk indicators to assess, either entirely or in part, the adverse impact of

Amendment

8. Notes that most Member States use national risk indicators to assess, either entirely or in part, the adverse impact of

pesticide use; recalls that in spite of the explicit obligation laid down in Article 15 of the directive, the Commission has not yet established EU-wide harmonised risk indicators, which makes it *all but impossible* to compare the progress made in different Member States and across the Union as a whole;

pesticide use; recalls that in spite of the explicit obligation laid down in Article 15 of the directive, the Commission has not yet established EU-wide harmonised risk indicators, which makes it *difficult* to compare the progress made in different Member States and across the Union as a whole;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. *Is concerned that the EU's use of pesticides, after a drop in 2009, is constantly growing and only in 2016, 368.588,42 tonnes of active substances were used, making Europe third consumer of pesticides with 11,8% of all pesticides used worldwide, with France, Spain and Italy amongst the top ten biggest users of pesticides worldwide*^{1a}

1a

<http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/RP/visualize>

Or. en

Amendment 129
Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Herbert Dorfmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of*

deleted

winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse must be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Or. en

Amendment 130

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is deeply concerned at the **gradual** depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the **particularly disconcerting** demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; **considers that this collapse must be linked** to the **use** of pesticides **and** further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable **pesticide use and increase** the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

Amendment

9. Is deeply concerned at the **ongoing, rapid and potentially irreversible** depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the **alarming** demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years **possibly due** to the **unintentional effects** of pesticides **on soil and non-target species**; **Notes further that studies also show common bird species are declining across Europe which could be attributed to the decline in insect population**; further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable **food and farming production system by increasing and utilising** the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers; **recognises the importance of NAPs and IPM in significantly reducing pesticide usage to avoid ecological collapse while favouring agro-ecological measures and organic**

Or. en

Amendment 131
Karl-Heinz Florenz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is deeply concerned ***at the gradual depletion*** of biodiversity in Europe and ***at the particularly disconcerting demise*** of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ ***that*** the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that ***this collapse must be linked to the use of*** pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

Amendment

9. Is deeply concerned ***about the continuing loss*** of biodiversity, ***in particular farmland biodiversity***, in Europe and ***about the alarming decline*** of winged insects, ***including pollinators***, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ ***according to which*** the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; ***notes also the unintentional effects of pesticides on soil and soil organisms^{26a} and other non-target species***; considers that pesticides ***are one of the relevant factors that are responsible for the decline of insects, farmland bird species as well as other non-target organisms*** and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

Or. en

Amendment 132

Ulrike Müller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; ***considers that this collapse must be linked to*** the use of pesticides ***and further*** underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Amendment

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in ***63 nature protection areas in*** Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; ***further takes note that 94 % of these areas were enclosed by agricultural fields and that the study hence concludes that next to other factors such as climate and weather, agricultural intensification including*** the use of pesticides ***may form a plausible cause for that decline;*** underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Or. en

Amendment 133

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is ***deeply*** concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, ***as evidenced by the***

PE630.478v01-00

Amendment

9. Is concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects ***which seems to be***

66/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

*findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the **population** of flying insects in Germany **has** plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; **considers that this collapse must be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;***

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

*indicated by a recent scientific study²⁶ which concluded that the **biomass** of flying insects in **63 nature conservation areas in Germany had** plummeted by more than 75 % in **the past 27 years; notes, however, that the study itself did not identify any significant causal link between this decline and any pesticide use; stresses, nevertheless, that Europe is bound to operate in accordance with the principles and rules of integrated pest management;***

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Or. nl

Amendment 134

Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is **deeply** concerned at the **gradual depletion** of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, **as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse must be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;**

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Amendment

9. Is concerned at the **decline** of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects; **acknowledges the Commission's first ever EU Pollinators Initiative on 1 June 2018 that sets strategic objectives and a set of actions to be taken to address this decline;** further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

Or. en

Amendment 135
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse must be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to ***a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;***

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Amendment

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse must be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the ***urgent*** need for Europe to switch to sustainable, ***ecological and safe plant protection techniques;*** ***considers also that if the Commission were no longer refusing to apply Art. 4.2 of Regulation 1107/2009 and instead were to actually decide on the unacceptable effects on the environment, it would contribute to halting the depletion of biodiversity;***

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Or. en

Amendment 136
Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the

PE630.478v01-00

Amendment

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the

68/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse must be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to ***switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;***

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse must be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to ***increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers and, in the long-term, to aim to abandon the use of potentially hazardous pesticides outright, while helping farmers make this transition without it reducing their income;***

Or. fr

Amendment 137

Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse must be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

AM\1168799EN.docx

Amendment

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse must be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers; ***advocates for further research on the impact of pesticides on non-target species and for immediate action to minimize it;***

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

69/125

PE630.478v01-00

EN

Amendment 138**Jørn Dohrmann****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 9***Motion for a resolution*

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse **must** be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

 26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Amendment

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse **might possibly** be linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

 26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Or. en

Amendment 139**Christofer Fjellner****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 9***Motion for a resolution*

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual **depletion** of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse **must** be

PE630.478v01-00

Amendment

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual **decline** of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse **might** be

70/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Or. en

Amendment 140 **Stanislav Polčák**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 9**

Motion for a resolution

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse **must be** linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Amendment

9. Is deeply concerned at the gradual depletion of biodiversity in Europe and at the particularly disconcerting demise of winged insects, as evidenced by the findings of a recent scientific study²⁶ that the population of flying insects in Germany has plummeted by more than 75 % in 27 years; considers that this collapse **is** linked to the use of pesticides and further underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of non-chemical alternatives for farmers;

26

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809>

Or. cs

Amendment 141 **Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach**

Motion for a resolution

AM\1168799EN.docx

71/125

PE630.478v01-00

EN

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Emphasises the fundamental importance of biodiversity and robust ecosystems, most notably bees and other pollinating insects, for ensuring a healthy and sustainable agricultural sector;

Amendment

10. Emphasises the fundamental importance of biodiversity and robust ecosystems, most notably bees and other pollinating insects, for ensuring a healthy and sustainable agricultural sector;
underlines that the protection of biodiversity is not exclusively a matter of protecting the environment but also a means to ensure Europe's sustained food security in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 142

Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Angélique Delahaye, Herbert Dorfmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Emphasises the fundamental importance of biodiversity and robust ecosystems, most notably bees and other pollinating insects, for ensuring a healthy and sustainable agricultural sector;

Amendment

10. Emphasises the fundamental importance of biodiversity and robust ecosystems, most notably bees and other pollinating insects, for ensuring a healthy and sustainable agricultural sector;
underlines the need for Europe to switch to a more sustainable pesticide use and increase the number of low risk alternatives for farmers;

Or. en

Amendment 143

Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Emphasises the fundamental importance of biodiversity and robust

Amendment

10. Emphasises the fundamental importance of biodiversity and robust

ecosystems, most notably bees and other pollinating insects, for ensuring a healthy and sustainable agricultural sector;

ecosystems, most notably bees and other pollinating insects, for ensuring a healthy and sustainable agricultural sector, *as well as biodiversity as a whole, human health and the long-term survival of human beings as a species*;

Or. fr

Amendment 144
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Emphasises the fundamental importance of biodiversity and robust ecosystems, most notably bees and other pollinating insects, for ensuring a healthy and sustainable agricultural sector;

Amendment

10. Emphasises the fundamental importance of biodiversity and robust ecosystems, most notably bees and other pollinating insects, for ensuring a healthy and sustainable agricultural sector, *calls for dedicated ring-fenced funding for this sector in the new CAP*;

Or. en

Amendment 145
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Emphasises the fundamental importance of biodiversity and robust ecosystems, most notably bees and other pollinating insects, *for ensuring* a healthy and sustainable agricultural sector;

Amendment

10. Emphasises the fundamental importance of biodiversity and robust ecosystems, most notably bees and other pollinating insects, *which are essential in order to ensure* a healthy and sustainable agricultural sector *and the food supply without which humanity cannot survive*;

Or. nl

Amendment 146
Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Further emphasises that the development of sustainable agricultural choices is necessary to reduce climate change impacts on food security;

Or. en

Amendment 147
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Expresses particular concern at the continued use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals; emphasises that the use of such pesticides is incompatible with the objectives and purpose of the directive;

deleted

Or. nl

Amendment 148
Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Expresses particular concern at the continued use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic,

11. Recalls that Regulation 1107/2009 already contains adequate provisions to regulate the placing on the market of

carcinogenic or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals; emphasises that ***the use of such pesticides is incompatible with*** the objectives and purpose of the directive;

substances that ***may be*** mutagenic, carcinogenic or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals; emphasises that ***consistency should be ensured between*** the objectives and purpose of the directive ***and Regulation 1107/2009***;

Or. en

Amendment 149 **Anja Hazekamp**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 11**

Motion for a resolution

11. Expresses particular concern at the continued use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals; emphasises that the use of such pesticides is incompatible with the objectives and purpose of the directive;

Amendment

11. Expresses particular concern at the continued use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals; emphasises that the use of such pesticides is incompatible with the objectives and purpose of the directive; ***expresses particular criticism on the Commission's approach to bypass the first step of Art. 4.1 (last paragraph) of Regulation 1107/2009 which intends to evaluate classified pesticides***;

Or. en

Amendment 150 **Mireille D'Ornano**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 11**

Motion for a resolution

11. Expresses particular concern at the continued use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic,

Amendment

11. Expresses particular concern at the continued use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic,

carcinogenic or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals; emphasises that the use of such pesticides is incompatible with the objectives and purpose of the directive;

carcinogenic or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals; emphasises that the use of such pesticides is incompatible with the objectives and purpose of the directive; ***points out that pesticide authorisation procedures at EU level remain far too open to influence from lobbies from the agrochemicals industry;***

Or. fr

Amendment 151
Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Expresses particular concern at the continued use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals; emphasises that the use of such pesticides is incompatible with the objectives and purpose of the directive;

Amendment

11. Expresses particular concern at the continued use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals, ***or active substances with unacceptable effects on the environment;*** emphasises that the use of such pesticides is incompatible with the objectives and purpose of the directive;

Or. en

Amendment 152
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Notes that, while Member States generally have systems to gather information on acute poisoning from pesticides, the accuracy of this data is

questionable and that systems for gathering information on chronic poisoning are not widely implemented;

Or. en

Amendment 153

Jan Huitema, Mairead McGuinness, Pavel Telička, Ulrike Müller, Anthea McIntyre, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Encourages more focus on risk reduction, as extensive use of low-risk substances might be more harmful than limited use of high-risk substances;

Or. en

Amendment 154

Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Regrets the fact that the improvement of water quality has been insufficiently targeted, with most Member States failing to establish targets and timetables for measures to protect the aquatic environment from pesticides, and those that did so not specifying how the achievement of targets or objectives would be measured;

12. *Emphasizes that the aquatic environment is especially sensitive to pesticides;* regrets the fact that the improvement of water quality has been insufficiently targeted, with *the Commission renewing the approval to pesticides toxic to aquatic life such as glyphosate, and* most Member States failing to establish targets and timetables for measures to protect the aquatic environment from pesticides, and those that did so not specifying how the achievement of targets or objectives would be measured;

Or. en

Amendment 155

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Regrets ***the fact that the improvement of water quality has been insufficiently targeted, with*** most Member States ***failing to establish*** targets and timetables for measures to protect the aquatic environment from pesticides, and those that did so ***not specifying*** how the achievement of targets or objectives would be measured;

Amendment

12. ***Welcomes that Member States have taken a range of measures to protect the aquatic environment from pesticide use; regrets, however, that*** most Member States ***have not established quantitative*** targets and timetables for measures to protect the aquatic environment from pesticides, and those that did so ***have not specified*** how the achievement of targets or objectives would be measured; ***also believes that the monitoring in the aquatic environment of currently used pesticides should be improved;***

Or. en

Amendment 156

Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. ***Regrets the fact that the improvement of water quality has been insufficiently targeted, with most*** Member States ***failing*** to establish targets and timetables for measures to protect the aquatic environment from pesticides, and ***those that did so not specifying*** how the achievement of targets or objectives would be measured;

Amendment

12. ***Recognises the importance of the implementation of the Water Framework Directive to improve water quality, and encourages*** Member States to establish targets and timetables for measures to protect the aquatic environment from pesticides, and ***to specify*** how the achievement of targets or objectives would be measured;

Or. en

Amendment 157

PE630.478v01-00

78/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

Jan Huitema, Mairead McGuinness, Pavel Telička, Ulrike Müller, Anthea McIntyre, Fredrick Federley

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Regrets that in some Member States the training and certification requirements of the Directive are not fully met; underlines the importance of training of users to ensure the safe and sustainable use of PPPs; considers it fitting to distinguish between professional and amateur users, given that they are not subject to the same obligations; emphasises that professional and non-professional users of PPPs should receive adequate training; stresses that PPPs are not only used in agriculture, but also for weed and pest control in urban areas, including public parks and railways;

Or. en

**Amendment 158
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Notes that of 4000 European monitoring sites studied in a 2014 report, 42% suffered from chronic toxicity due to failures in risk assessment of pesticides and their management, clearly showing that agrochemicals are a large-scale environmental problem across the EU with significant eco toxicological impacts on freshwater ecosystems^{1a}; highlights the cost-effectiveness of preventing pesticides entering freshwater systems as opposed to costly removal technologies;

^{1a} Malaj et al, 2014. Organic chemicals

jeopardise the health of freshwater ecosystems on the continental scale.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24979762>

Or. en

Amendment 159

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Mairead McGuinness, Peter Jahr, Angélique Delahaye, Norbert Lins

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Highlights the need to focus on precision farming and digital agriculture, so as to prevent the dispersion of plant protection products in areas where they are not needed, for example by means of drone and GPS precision technology; considers that further investment and research into equipment and technology could play an important role in rendering plant protection products more efficient and reduce the potential exposure of professional users and the general public;

Or. en

Amendment 160

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Regrets the deterioration of water resources has increasingly led to extra-treatment by drinking water operators to ensure that water intended for human consumption complies with limits for pesticides as enshrined in Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for

human consumption and the relative costs have been borne by consumers, not polluters;

Or. en

Amendment 161

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Notes that agriculture is the main source that causes groundwater to fail to achieve good chemical status, as it leads to pollution by nitrates and pesticides; welcomes the progress made by Member States in tackling priority substances, which has led to fewer water bodies failing to meet standards for substances, such as cadmium, lead and nickel, as well as pesticides;

Or. en

Amendment 162

Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Stresses that some pesticides are internationally recognized as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) due to their potential for long-range transport, persistence in the environment, ability to bio-magnify throughout the food chain and bio-accumulate in ecosystems, as well as their significant negative effects on human health;

Or. en

Amendment 163

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Welcomes that several Member States and many regional and local governments have taken action to restrict or prohibit pesticide use in areas used by the public or vulnerable groups; notes however the absence of measurable targets in the majority of Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 164

Jan Huitema, Mairead McGuinness, Pavel Telička, Anthea McIntyre, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Highlights that sustainable and responsible use of pesticides is a precondition for the authorisation of plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 165

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 c. Notes Member States' continued support for organic agriculture as a low

pesticide input system; welcomes that the number of organic farms has continued to increase in the Union but notes that progress still varies much between one Member States and another;

Or. en

Amendment 166

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 d. Notes the potential in using intelligent technology and precision farming as means to better administer and reduce the overall use of pesticides; stresses that the uptake of such solutions could be improved in Member States if better incorporated into training courses and certification schemes for pesticides users in the National Action Plans;

Or. en

Amendment 167

Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Calls on the Member States to complete the implementation of the directive without further delay;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 168

Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Member States to complete the implementation of the directive without further delay;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Member States to complete the implementation of the directive without further delay; ***reminds the Member States that implementation of the Directive alone cannot guarantee the desired transition to sustainable agriculture, and that they would be well advised to take stricter measures on pesticide use than those adopted at EU level;***

Or. fr

Amendment 169
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Member States to complete the implementation of the directive without further delay;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Member States to complete the implementation of the directive without further delay, ***more specifically by ensuring that all professional users of pesticides strictly and comprehensively apply the IPM principles by 2020 and by establishing an ambitious reduction target of 50% by 2023;***

Or. en

Amendment 170
Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Member States to

Amendment

13. Calls on the Member States to

complete the implementation of the directive without further delay;

complete the implementation of the directive without further delay, *in particular to establish timetables and targets for the reduction of pesticides use on soils and for the elimination of water bodies' exposure to spray drift, drain flow and run off;*

Or. en

Amendment 171
Stanislav Polčák

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Member States to **complete** the implementation of the directive without further delay;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Member States to **remedy gaps in** the implementation of the directive without further delay;

Or. cs

Amendment 172
Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

13 a. Calls on the Commission to facilitate the development and uptake of modern precision farming technologies for all farm types as part of IPM, which provide a clear advantage in lowering pesticide, fertiliser and water use, while improving soil fertility and optimising yields;

Or. en

Amendment 173
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. *Calls for strict implementation of the collection of data on pesticide use as foreseen by Regulation 1185/2009 on pesticide use statistics and calls for increased transparency on these statistics;*

Or. en

Amendment 174
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. *Calls on the Commission to set an obligatory reduction target of 50% by 2023, and to impose this target on Member States who fail to do so themselves;*

Or. en

Amendment 175
Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Calls on the Member States to take a proactive role in the practical implementation of the directive in order to identify gaps and specific areas which require particular attention with respect to the protection of human health and the environment, and not to limit themselves only to the usual national transposition and control mechanisms;

14. Calls on the Member States to take a proactive role in the practical implementation of the directive in order to identify gaps and specific areas which require particular attention with respect to the protection of human health and the environment, and not to limit themselves only to the usual national transposition and control mechanisms, **but instead to revise**

and to develop their NAPs with clearly defined targeted, objectives and time tables for environmental (protection of water, soil and biodiversity) and human health protection;

Or. en

Amendment 176
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls on the Member States to take a proactive role in the practical implementation of the directive in order to identify gaps and specific areas which require particular attention with respect to the protection of human health and the environment, and not to limit themselves only to the usual national transposition and control mechanisms;

Amendment

14. Calls on the Member States to take a proactive role in the practical implementation of the directive in order to identify gaps and specific areas which require particular attention with respect to the protection of human health and the environment, and not to limit themselves only to the usual national transposition and control mechanisms *but instead to revise and develop their NAPs with clearly defined targets, objectives and time tables for human and animal health protection and for environmental protection;*

Or. en

Amendment 177
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

14 a. Calls on Member States to incorporate into their NAPs clearly defined targets, objectives and timetables for the protection of human health and the environment (including water, soil and biodiversity) and to make sure that these targets, objectives and timetables are

met;

Or. en

Amendment 178

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Calls on the Member States to make sure that by 1 January 2019, IPM will serve as the basis for decisions on national authorisations of pesticides and to prohibit non-IPM practices, such as preventive spraying and calendar spraying;

Or. en

Amendment 179

Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Angélique Delahaye, Herbert Dorfmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, ***expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;***

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, ***while promoting alternatives, low risk methods towards a more sustainable agriculture;***

Or. en

Amendment 180

Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with *the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use*, expressed *either* through a *quantity- or risk-based approach*, including *clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and* the uptake of alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with clear, *measurable objectives and quantitative targets*, expressed through a risk-based approach, including the uptake of *digital and precision farming technologies and* alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

Or. en

Amendment 181

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Mairead McGuinness, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, *expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach*, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

Amendment 182

Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 15***Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Member States to ***adhere to the established timelines for delivering*** revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Member States to ***accelerate procedures for adopting their*** revised NAP; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, ***expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach***, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

Or. fr

Amendment 183

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 15***Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, ***this time with the*** clear overall ***objective of an*** immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the

Amendment

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay; ***urges the Member States to include*** clear overall ***objectives and targets for the*** immediate, ***short-term*** and long-term reduction in pesticide use (expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction

possible effects on pollinators *and* the uptake of *alternative* techniques *in the agricultural sector*;

targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators) *and for* the uptake of *sustainable, ecological and safe plant protection* techniques;

Or. en

Amendment 184
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction *in* pesticide use, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction *of risk and impact of* pesticide use, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators *fostering of* and the uptake of *new technologies and* alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

Or. en

Amendment 185
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall

Amendment

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall

objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative techniques, *such as Agroforestry and Organic farming*, in the agricultural sector;

Or. en

Amendment 186

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative *non-chemical* techniques in the agricultural sector;

Or. en

Amendment 187

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for

PE630.478v01-00

Amendment

15. Calls on the Member States to adhere to the established timelines for

92/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative techniques in the agricultural sector;

delivering revised NAPs; urges those Member States that have not yet delivered revised NAPs to do so without further delay, this time with the clear overall objective of an immediate and long-term reduction in pesticide use, expressed either through a quantity- or risk-based approach, including clearly defined annual reduction targets and with special attention for the possible effects on pollinators and the uptake of alternative *non-chemical* techniques in the agricultural sector;

Or. en

Amendment 188
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. *Notes that many Member States have taken action to ban pesticide use in public areas; calls on the Commission to propose a ban on pesticides for non-professional use in its second evaluation report; calls on the Commission to speed up work on this report, given that the report is due in 26 November 2018;*

Or. en

Amendment 189
Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure better coherence of the directive and its implementation with related EU legislation *and policies, most notably* the provisions under the CAP

16. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure better coherence of the directive and its implementation with related EU legislation - the provisions under the CAP - *first of all by making sure*

and the regulation concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market;

that IPM is being fully integrated as already called for in article 55 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and in article 14 of the SUD with mandatory and voluntary IPM rules clearly defined while urges the Commission to make the 8 general principles of IPM legal requirements; calls for the integration of IPM into the revision of the CAP with proven reductions in pesticide dependency being an indicator of success, and the regulation concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, first of all by limiting the number of essential use derogations, but also making sure that environmental exposure is finally being considered in the authorization procedures;

Or. en

Amendment 190
Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure better coherence of the directive and its implementation with related EU legislation and policies, most notably the provisions under the CAP and the regulation concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market;

Amendment

16. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure better coherence of the directive and its implementation with related EU legislation and policies, most notably the provisions under the CAP and the regulation concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market; *in particular to identify the mandatory aspects of IPM to be integrated under the CAP in a system of cross-compliance and to stop offering rural development funding to farmers who use chemical inputs where alternatives are available, with a view to targeting serious pesticide use reduction and facilitating the uptake of organic production techniques;*

Or. en

Amendment 191
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure better coherence of the directive and its implementation with related EU legislation and policies, most notably the provisions under the CAP and *the regulation* concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market;

Amendment

16. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure better coherence of the directive and its implementation with related EU legislation and policies, most notably the provisions under the CAP and **Regulation 1107/2009** concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market ***including by strictly limiting the number of essential use derogations and by updating the relevant guidance documents to ensure that the risk assessment of pesticides reflect real life exposure and conditions and takes into account all possible impacts on health and the environment as well as cumulative and synergistic effects;***

Or. en

Amendment 192
Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure better coherence of the directive and its implementation with related EU legislation and policies, most notably the provisions under the CAP and the regulation concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market;

Amendment

16. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure better coherence of the directive and its implementation with related EU legislation and policies, most notably the provisions under the CAP and the regulation concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, ***such coherence must be in line with the fundamental principle of the necessary transition to sustainable agriculture, which the provisions of the CAP and the procedures for authorising pesticides at EU level currently run counter to;***

Amendment 193

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to commit to reducing farmers' dependency on pesticides and therefore to ensure cross-compliance between IPM and CAP, more specifically by linking the eligibility for CAP direct payments to those who quantifiably comply with the full set of IPM principles (and not just parts of IPM) and on the condition that pesticides are no longer used but are replaced by sustainable, ecological and safe plant protection techniques;

Or. en

Amendment 194

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Calls on the Commission to without any further delay deliver on its commitment under the 7th Environment Action Programme to put forth a Union strategy for a non-toxic environment that is conducive to innovation and the development of sustainable substitutes including non-chemical solutions, and expects the Commission to take particular account of the impacts of pesticides on the environment and human health in this strategy;

Amendment 195
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Urges the Commission to make the 8 general principles of IPM legal requirements; calls for the integration of IPM into the revision of the CAP with proven reductions in pesticide dependency being an indicator of success;

Or. en

Amendment 196
Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Pavel Telička, Anthea McIntyre, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Recommends to give Member States the flexibility to apply IPM as part of the greening measures under the CAP;

Or. en

Amendment 197
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take immediate action in order to ensure protection for vulnerable groups as defined in Article 3(14) of the PPP Regulation, especially

considering the existing lack of protection of millions of EU rural residents living in the vicinity of crops; therefore, calls on the Commission and the Member States to impose immediate measures that prohibit the use of pesticides within a substantial and safe perimeter from residents' homes, schools, parks, playgrounds, nurseries, hospitals and other public spaces;

Or. en

Amendment 198

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to pay particular attention to the protection of vulnerable groups, as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation 1107/2009, especially considering the existing lack of protection of millions of EU rural residents living in the locality of crops; calls on the Commission to immediately prohibit the use of pesticides within substantial distances in the locality of residents homes, schools, playgrounds, nurseries and hospitals;

Or. en

Amendment 199

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 e. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure that the polluter-pays principle is fully implemented and

effectively enforced as regards the protection of water resources;

Or. en

Amendment 200
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to fully implement the polluter-pays principle and effectively protect water resources;

Or. en

Amendment 201
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 c. Expresses concern that many Member States have not interpreted the requirement of Article 12(a) correctly by interpreting it as only referring to non-agricultural use, while in fact vulnerable groups as those defined in Regulation 1107/2009 include residents subject to high pesticide exposure over the long term; notes in addition that the Commission has confirmed that there is no legal reason as to why agricultural application should be excluded from the provisions of Article 12;

Or. en

Amendment 202

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17**

Motion for a resolution

17. *Calls on the Commission and the Member States to move forward with the development of harmonised risk indicators in order to properly monitor the reduction impacts of pesticides;*

Amendment

17. *Welcomes the proposed harmonised risk indicators from the Commission and urges Member States to rapidly move forward with their adoption and implementation;*

Or. en

Amendment 203

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17**

Motion for a resolution

17. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to move forward with the development of harmonised risk indicators in order to properly monitor the reduction impacts of pesticides;

Amendment

17. Calls on the Commission and the Member States, *while building upon the existing national indicators set under Directive and the work of the OECD*, to move forward with the development of harmonised risk indicators in order to properly monitor the reduction impacts of pesticides; *stresses that the harmonised risk indicators must contain different categories of pesticides, enabling comparisons and analyses not only on the basis of the quantity but also on the load of pesticides;*

Or. en

Amendment 204

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Notes that, in addition to the establishment of harmonised risk indicators, there is a need for a coherent residues monitoring system; calls on the Commission to establish a fully operational operating system for the regular collection of data on pesticide residues in the environment, including in soil and water, possibly based on the successful experience with the Land Use and Coverage area frame statistical survey (LUCAS) soil monitoring system;

Or. en

Amendment 205

Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to promote research programmes aimed at determining the impacts of pesticide use on human health, taking into account the full range of toxicological effects, including immunotoxicity, endocrine disruption and neurodevelopmental toxicity, and focusing on the effects of prenatal exposure to pesticides on children's health;

Or. en

Amendment 206

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Calls on the Member States to acknowledge that Europe must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and that the main responsibility for implementing such practices lies with the Member States; emphasises that swift action is essential;

Or. en

Amendment 207

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Calls on the Commission to also impose independent monitoring on industrial producers of pesticides who are applying for pesticide approval or re-authorisation;

Or. en

Amendment 208

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Calls for the collection of data on pesticide use as foreseen in Reg. 1185/2009 on pesticide use statistics;

Or. en

Amendment 209

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 b. Calls on the Commission to establish fully operational monitoring systems for the regular collection of data on pesticide residues in the environment, particularly in soil and water; suggests to the Commission to take into consideration the LUCAS soil monitoring system as an example;

Or. en

Amendment 210

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 b. Calls on the Commission to establish a fully operational monitoring system for the regular collection of updated measured data on pesticide residue in the environment, especially in soil and water.

Or. en

Amendment 211

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides **and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and nature;**

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides;

Amendment 212

Mairead McGuinness, Jan Huitema, Angélique Delahaye

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides **and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and nature;**

Amendment

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides;

Or. en

Amendment 213

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote **low-risk pesticides** and to prioritise **non-chemical options and methods** which **cause the least harm to health and nature;**

Amendment

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote **and enable the further development and uptake of sustainable, ecological and safe plant protection techniques** and to prioritise **the immediate prohibition of pesticides** which **are harmful to human health, animal health or the environment;**

Or. en

Amendment 214

Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and nature;

Amendment

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and nature, ***with the ultimate objective of abandoning the use of potentially hazardous pesticides outright as soon as possible***;

Or. fr

Amendment 215

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and nature;

Amendment

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and nature; ***stresses that for this to be successful, the economic incentives for farmers to choose such options must be strengthened***;

Or. en

Amendment 216

Christofer Fjellner, Julie Girling

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides and to prioritise non-chemical options and

Amendment

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides and to prioritise non-chemical options and

methods which cause the least harm to health and nature;

methods which cause the least harm to health and nature, *while ensuring effective and efficient crop protection*;

Or. en

Amendment 217

Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides *and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and nature*;

Amendment

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides, *precision and digital farming, and non-chemical methods provided that they deliver efficient pest control with the least harm to health and nature*;

Or. en

Amendment 218

Urszula Krupa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and *nature*;

Amendment

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and *the environment*;

Or. pl

Amendment 219

Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution

PE630.478v01-00

106/125

AM\1168799EN.docx

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote low-risk pesticides and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and nature;

Amendment

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote **and incentivise** low-risk pesticides and to prioritise non-chemical options and methods which cause the least harm to health and nature;

Or. en

Amendment 220

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote **low-risk pesticides and to** prioritise non-chemical options and methods which **cause** the least harm to health and nature;

Amendment

18. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take all the requisite measures to promote, **and** prioritise, non-chemical options and methods which **have** the least **risk of** harm to health and nature;

Or. en

Amendment 221

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. Calls on the Commission to submit before the end of its current mandate a specific legislative proposal amending Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, outside of the general revision in connection with the REFIT initiative, with a view to establishing a rigorous fast-track evaluation, authorisation and registration procedure for low-risk biological

pesticides, in line with its resolution of 15 February 2017 on low-risk pesticides of biological origin and with its resolution of 13 September 2018 on the implementation of the Plant Protection Products Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009;

Or. en

Amendment 222
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19a. *Urges the Commission to submit by the end of 2018, in accordance with Parliament's resolution of 8 February 2017 on low-risk pesticides of biological origin, a specific legislative proposal to amend Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, independently of the general revision in connection with the REFIT initiative, with a view to introducing a fast-track evaluation, authorisation and registration procedure for low-risk biological pesticides;*

Or. nl

Amendment 223
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. *Notes that resistance to pesticide active substances is a biological inevitability in fast-reproducing pests and diseases and that resistance is a growing problem; emphasises that prophylactic spraying should be prohibited, that targeted spraying should only be used as a*

last option rather than a first one, and that replacing pesticides by sustainable, ecological and safe plant protection techniques is the preferred option as it is the only one that offers a viable solution to the problem;

Or. en

Amendment 224
Susanne Melior

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. Calls on the member states to take on a more ambitious role in providing consultation and training on the sustainable use of pesticides;

Or. en

Amendment 225
Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 b. Calls on the Commission to propose amending the Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 to add a definition and a separate category for ‘naturally occurring substances’ and ‘nature-identical substances’ for which the criterion is the existing presence and exposition of the substance in nature;

Or. en

Amendment 226
Jørn Dohrmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk biological alternatives;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 227
Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the *promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk* biological alternatives;

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the *transition to a pesticide-free future; further calls on the Member States to use chemical pesticides as a last resort in IPM after exhausting all possible physical or biological alternatives and in a selective and targeted manner, avoiding routine preventive treatments (prophylactic and/or metaphylactic);*

Or. en

Amendment 228
Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk

biological alternatives;

biological alternatives *and of sustainable agricultural practices such as permaculture, agro-ecology and, in particular, agroforestry*;

Or. fr

Amendment 229

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk biological alternatives;

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk biological alternatives; *including by increasing funding opportunities within Horizon Europe and the Multiannual Financial Framework 2021-2027.*

Or. en

Amendment 230

Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and *marketing* of low-risk biological *alternatives*;

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and *registration* of low-risk *and biological solutions*; *also calls for greater emphasis on the adoption of new, digital and precision farming technologies*;

Or. en

Amendment 231

Urszula Krupa

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19**

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk biological alternatives;

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk biological alternatives, *as well as introducing educational campaigns for people who carry out spraying and for farmers*;

Or. pl

**Amendment 232
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19**

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk biological alternatives;

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk biological alternatives *and to make pesticide free agriculture a top priority in the Horizon Europe programme*;

Or. en

**Amendment 233
Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19**

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development,

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development,

research and marketing of low-risk biological alternatives;

research and marketing of low-risk biological alternatives *in addition to the promotion of farming systems which require less intensive inputs*;

Or. en

Amendment 234
Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place *greater* emphasis on *the promotion of* the development, research *and marketing of low-risk biological alternatives*;

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place *the* emphasis on the development, research, *promotion and uptake of sustainable, ecological and safe plant protection techniques, and to provide for the necessary incentives*;

Or. en

Amendment 235
Ulrike Müller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk *biological* alternatives;

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk alternatives;

Or. en

Amendment 236
Mairead McGuinness, Jan Huitema, Angélique Delahaye

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk **biological** alternatives;

Amendment

19. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to place greater emphasis on the promotion of the development, research and marketing of low-risk alternatives;

Or. en

Amendment 237

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 a. Notes that resistance to pesticide active substances is a biological inevitability in fast reproducing pests and is a growing problem; stresses therefore that chemical pesticides should be used according to the IPM approach which is selectively and in a targeted manner, as a last resort rather than a first resort, after exhausting all possible physical and biological alternatives; Calls therefore on the Commission and Member States to ban prophylactic use of synthetic pesticides, such as the use of coated seeds;

Or. en

Amendment 238

Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure the effective implementation of the Union's obligations under the Protocol to the 1979

Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution and the 2004 Stockholm Convention on POPs, namely to scale up their efforts to eliminate the manufacturing, placing on the market and use of POPs pesticides, together with the establishment of provisions on the disposal of waste containing or contaminated by any of these substances;

Or. en

Amendment 239

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to promote organic farming, which relies on preventive and indirect plant protection strategies aimed at reducing the use of external inputs, and on multi-functional naturally occurring substances; acknowledges the need for more research in and development of preventive and indirect agro-ecological plant health care strategies;

Or. en

Amendment 240

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 a. Calls on the Commission to further develop guidance on the IPM principles and their implementation; asks the Commission in this regard to establish guidelines on the establishment of criteria

for measuring and assessing the implementation of IPM in the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 241

Jørn Dohrmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 a. calls for plant breeding, crop rotation, agronomic measures such as reduced tilling and precision farming, sowing time to be part of holistic management of natural resources;

Or. en

Amendment 242

Anja Hazekamp

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to commit to a 50% reduction in the use of pesticides by 2023;

Or. en

Amendment 243

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 b. Calls for Horizon Europe to provide sufficient funding to promote to develop plant health care strategies based on a system approach combining innovative agro-ecological technics and preventive measures to reduce the use of external inputs to a minimum;

Or. en

Amendment 244

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Mairead McGuinness, Peter Jahr, Angélique Delahaye, Norbert Lins

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 b. Calls on the Member States to ensure that professionally qualified and independent advisory services are available to provide advice on IPM to end-users;

Or. en

Amendment 245

Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 b. Calls on the Commission to extend the scope of the Directive to cover biocidal products and ensure coherence with the implementation of Regulation 528/2012;

Or. en

Amendment 246

Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Calls on the Commission to carefully consider all the measures available to ensure compliance, ***including infringement proceedings against Member States that fail to comply with the obligation to fully implement the directive;***

Amendment

20. Calls on the Commission to carefully consider all the measures available to ensure compliance;

Or. fr

Amendment 247
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Calls on the Commission to ***carefully consider all the measures available to ensure compliance, including infringement proceedings against Member States that fail to comply with the obligation to fully implement the directive;***

Amendment

20. Calls on the Commission to ***reorientate its agricultural policy which currently promotes industrial agricultural to compete on the world commodity markets, to one that is based on self sufficiency for the European Union which would allow farmers to practice a less intensive more sustainable agricultural model;***

Or. en

Amendment 248
Stanislav Polčák

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Calls on the Commission to carefully consider all the measures available to ensure compliance, including infringement proceedings against Member States that fail to comply with the

Amendment

20. Calls on the Commission to carefully consider all the measures available to ensure compliance, including ***launching*** infringement proceedings against Member States that fail to comply

obligation to fully implement the directive;

with the obligation to fully implement the directive;

Or. cs

Amendment 249

Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling, Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. Calls on the Commission to ensure consistency between the objectives and purpose of the Sustainable Use Directive and the Regulation 1107/2009;

Or. en

Amendment 250

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission to immediately prohibit the use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic, or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals;

deleted

Or. nl

Amendment 251

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission to immediately prohibit the use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic, or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 252

Christofer Fjellner, Julie Girling, Anthea McIntyre

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission to ***immediately prohibit the use of pesticides with*** active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic, or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals;

21. Calls on the Commission to ***properly apply Regulation 1107/2009 on the placing on the market of*** active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic, or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals;

Or. en

Amendment 253

Mireille D'Ornano

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission to immediately prohibit the use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic, or toxic ***for*** reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals;

21. Calls on the Commission to immediately prohibit the use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic or toxic ***to*** reproduction, ***including those which are currently considered to be 'likely' to be mutagenic, carcinogenic or reprotoxic,*** or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting

characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals;

Or. fr

Amendment 254
Stanislav Polčák

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Calls on the Commission *to immediately prohibit* the use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic, or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals;

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission, *following the results of an impartial expert assessment, to take immediate steps against* the use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic, or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals;

Or. cs

Amendment 255
Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Calls on the Commission to immediately prohibit the use of pesticides with active substances that are mutagenic, carcinogenic, or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals;

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission to immediately prohibit the use of pesticides with active substances that are *proven to be* mutagenic, carcinogenic, or toxic for reproduction, or active substances that have endocrine-disrupting characteristics and are damaging to humans or animals;

Or. fr

Amendment 256
Jørn Dohrmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. *Calls on the Commission and the Member States to recognize the need to work seriously to develop preventive measures, pest monitoring, early warning and decision support systems as well as alternative control measures, with the aim of developing robust cropping systems that are cost-effective in order to promote further IPM implementation in all Member States; considers that agricultural knowledge and information systems (AKIS) need to be constantly improved, and there is a need to offer advisory systems independent of sales of pesticide products; calls on Member States to coordinate to develop such systems;*

Or. en

Amendment 257
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. *Notes that within the IPM toolkit, biological control involves boosting or introducing beneficial species that predate upon and therefore regulate pest populations, keeping them in check; emphasises therefore the importance of using chemical pesticides as a last resort in IPM following other physical and biological methods and also applying them in a selective and targeted manner, otherwise these beneficial pest control agents risk being wiped out, leaving the crops more susceptible to future attacks;*

Or. en

Amendment 258
Nicola Caputo, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. notes further that the best pesticide volume reductions are likely to arise from systemic changes that reduce susceptibility to pest attack, favour structural and biological diversity over monocultures and continuous cropping, and reduce pest resistance to active ingredients; highlights therefore the need to focus on, fund and mainstream agro-ecological methods which make the whole farming system more resilient to pests;

Or. en

Amendment 259
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 b. Notes further that the greatest reductions in pesticide use are most likely to arise from systemic changes that reduce susceptibility to pest attack, favour structural and biological diversity over monocultures and continuous cropping and which reduce pest resistance to active substances; highlights therefore the need to focus on, and mainstream, agroecological methods which make the whole farming system more resilient to pests;

Or. en

Amendment 260

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Calls on the Commission to take vigorous action against Member States that are systematically abusing derogations against banned pesticides containing neonicotinoids;

Or. en

Amendment 261

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 b. Calls on the Member States to take action concerning pesticide use in urban areas and provide support and information to local governments wishing to restrict and prohibit the use of pesticides in areas used by the public or vulnerable groups;

Or. en

Amendment 262

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 c. Calls on the Commission to set up a pan-European Platform on Sustainable

Pesticides Use bringing together sectorial stakeholders and representatives at local and regional level so as to facilitate information sharing and exchange of best practices in reducing pesticides use;

Or. en

Amendment 263

Jytte Guteland, Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè, Pavel Poc, Eric Andrieu, Guillaume Balas, Monika Beňová, Karin Kadenbach

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 d. Calls on the Commission and Member States to work towards the establishment of quantifiable national targets as well as a cumulative EU target for organic farming;

Or. en